

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,015	09/11/2003	Kia Silverbrook	BAL44US	4976
24011 73	590 12/23/2004		EXAMINER	
	OK RESEARCH PT	BROOKE, MICHAEL S		
	393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN. 2041			PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA			2853	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commence	10/659,015	SILVERBROOK, KIA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael S. Brooke	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	Γhis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/113,053. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/11/03.					

Application/Control Number: 10/659,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20-26 and 32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,702,417. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

With respect to claims 1 and 2 of the application, claim 20 of '417 teaches:

- A printing device that comprises a body, a printing cartridge being engageable with the body and the printing cartridge having a housing.
- An actuator formation being positioned on the housing and representing data relating to a media colorant-which is data characteristic of the cartridge.
- A printing mechanism.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,015

Art Unit: 2853

 A processor a processor positioned in the body to control operation of a media colorant feed mechanism and a printing mechanism.

Page 3

• an array of capacitive sensors positioned in the body and being configured so that predetermined combinations of capacitive sensors in the sensor array, when actuated, generate signals carrying data related to the media colorant, such predetermined combinations of capacitive sensors in the sensor array being actuable by the actuator formation positioned on the housing of the printing cartridge when the printing cartridge is engaged with the body so that the array of capacitive sensors generates a signal carrying said data relating to the media colorant of the printing cartridge.

With respect to claim 3 of the application, claim 26 of '417 teaches all of the claimed limitations, including the array of capacitive sensors that generate a signal carrying data relating to the media of the printing cartridge.

With respect to claim 4 of the application, claim 32 of '417 teaches all of the claimed limitations including an array of capacitive sensors that generate a signal carrying data relating to the media and media colorant of the printing cartridge.

With respect to claim 5 of the application, claim 21 of '417 teaches that the array of capacitive sensors is the product of an integrated circuit fabrication process.

With respect to claim 6 of the application, claim 22 of '417 teaches that the capacitive sensors are CMOS devices.

With respect to claim 7 of the application, claim 23 of '417 teaches that the array of capacitive sensors includes a substrate having dielectric properties, the substrate

Art Unit: 2853

defining a contact surface against which the actuating formation bears, with each capacitive sensor including a capacitor plate positioned in the substrate, and spaced from the contact surface, so that, when the actuating formation bears against the contact surface, the capacitor plate and the actuating formation defines a capacitor.

With respect to claim 8 of the application, claim 24 of '417 teaches that the capacitor plates are positioned so that capacitor plates of predetermined combinations of capacitor plates correspond with projections of the actuating formation, to define capacitors having a capacitance that represents the data relating to the media colorant.

With respect to claim 9 of the application, claim 25 of '417 teaches that the array of capacitive sensors incorporates circuitry to determine the capacitance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael S. Brooke whose telephone number is 571 272-2142. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,015 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael S. Brooke Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

MSB 12/20/04